

**EXHIBIT A**

Dear Melanie,

After receiving an email from you yesterday and understanding we have one week to reply with objections, I called and spoke to Morgan today for clarification. As a result, I understand that our objections are to be sent to you and not filed in the courts.

I am not a lawyer but as I read the documents, here are my objections to the filing at first glance:

1. My contract and I assume many others have a promise of Two sites. One site is an Authority site in which people create blogs, podcasts around their own business and an income-generating site from google ads, amazon affiliates or as they later decided Shopify. The first was to build traffic to at some later date to monetize and the latter to send ads to and generate immediate revenue.

My objection is people should be able to take their authority site that is not generating any income and still be entitled to fund recovery

2. I believe the language is ambiguous around signing the waiver to no longer allow any other recovery. As this is deemed a Ponzi scheme and fraud and involves a comingling of funds, we should be entitled to receive a funds recovery and damages beyond the receivership as we are not clear what other levels of legal recovery this process continues or what in fact we will receive as a result.

3. I don't agree that past employees should have any claim. Any investment in the company would be a conflict of interest and all sites owned by employees should be considered the assets of the company (and be transferred back to the company) unless otherwise listed in their employment contract. These assets were managed and maintained by site owner's money.

4. I do not agree that income sites that are earning any real income should be allowed to be transferred to the site owner. They should be assets of the group. I was told by a past employee more than a year ago that internally they told employees no investments would be made on non-performing sites only performing sites. That means all performing sites had the investment of all investors and these sites should either be liquidated or purchased by the site owner and get back a portion of what they invested like any other asset.

I will review the contracts again in more detail and add anything else that I missed. I wanted to send these items out quickly for your immediate review since the deadline is quickly approaching.

Please reply to acknowledge the request and if this needs to be filed with the court in addition to yourself.

Best regards

[REDACTED]